

# Journal of Science Innovations and Nature of Earth

Journal homepage : www.jsiane.com

## A STUDY OF WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS AT DIFFERENT GHATS OF RIVER YAMUNA IN MATHURA DISTRICT

Neha Agrawal<sup>1</sup> and Rajvir Singh Ojha<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of zoology, R.B.S. College, Agra, (U.P.) Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra, Uttar Pradesh Email - nehakagarwal089@gmail.com www.doi.org/10.59436/https://jsiane.com/archives3/2/85

## Abstract

The Yamuna water is the most polluted due to drain falling in the City directly going in to the Yamuna. The present study was done to see the water quality parameters at different ghats of river Yamuna in Mathura District (U.P.). Surface water was collected from different ghats (Gau ghat, Askunda ghat, Vishram ghat, Bangali ghat) Seasonally in the year from (2021 to 2022) to study the water quality parameters. Mean value was taken from calculating three months of one Season respectively. Mean value of COD(90 mg/L), BOD (150 mg/L), DO (4.5 mg/L), Turbidity (28 NTU) were found different from the permissible limits of WHO and BIS which are disagreeable but some parameters were found agreeable with BIS and WHO like Chloride (520mg/L), TDS (1325mg/L). Statistical calculation were carried out for significant results of these parameters on the basis of study we can conclude that the quality of Yamuna river is not good for irrigation as well as aquatic life of organisms. Urgent need for proper management measures and Suitable tools to restore the water quality of Yamuna river.

Keywords: Yamuna river, physiochemical parameters, BIS, BOD, WHO, COD etc.

Received 11.01.2023

Revised 22.05.2023

Accepted 24.06.2023

#### Introduction

Aquatic ecosystem is one of the most productive ecosystems in the world that inhabits a large proportion of the earth's biodiversity. The river Yamuna is the major river of Northern India originates from the Yamunotri glacier near bunderpunch peak of lower Himalayas ( $38^0$  59–N,  $78^0$  27–E) in the Mussorie range at an elevation of about 6, 320 meter above sea level in the Uttrakhand India (Hassen *et al.*, 2017). The degradation and deterioration in the water quality of our rivers protends us not only of worsening water shortage but also esculating ecological damage. The Yamuna River is the most polluted due to the polluted water of drain falling in the city directly going in to the Yamuna.

Mathura city population – over 3 lac (0.3 million) is spread mostly along the river and citys natural slope is towards the river. Total waste water generation in Mathura city is about 43 MLD (million litres a day). A major portion of towns waste water reaches the river ditrectly to overload shock loads, chocking of drains, failure of pumps. From the Jhuggi jhompries, a large amount of water directly flowes in to the river (Bhargava, 2006). There are different types of pesticides used by farmers for the fields near Yamuna which directly fall down in yamuna by floating at spring season. Thus the present study describes physiochemical parameters values at different Ghats in Mathura region.

## **Material and Methods**

For the present investigation water samples were collected from the different ghats (Gau ghat, Askunda ghat, Vishram ghat, Bangali ghat) from 2021 to 2022. Physiochemical *J. Sci. Innov. Nat. Earth*  parameters were analyzed according to APHA (2005) and standard methods for water analysis. Some of the parameters like Colour, Temperature, pH Velocity, were performed in situ and other remaining parameters like COD, BOD, Phosphate, Nitrate etc was taken at different places like (R.B.S. College, Agra, Jal Nigam Lab Mathura, Analysis Industrial Research and Quality Control Labs, Agra) for their testing.

The samples were collected in Polyethylene bottles with the addition of 2 ml concentrated HNO3 in order to preserve the samples (Kashyap *et al.*, 2015). Different methods used for analyzing of these parameters are shown in the table given blow with the units of these Parameters (Table 1).

#### **Result and Discussion**

According to U.P. Pollution Control Board the main reason for polluted Yamuna River is drains of the city. Although the government is spending 48 crores per year on treatment plants for clearing the drains polluted water but untreated sewage continues to flow in Yamuna.

Temperature was found higher in summer months and lowest in winter months. Turbidity was found higher (34.3) in winters, lower in summer (11.2) which was similar with results kumar *et al.*, 2018. A high COD shows the high organic water, COD range was (56 to 95), was lesser then the values or BIS. DO was found in the range of (3.20 to 8.0 mg/L), which was under permissible. Chloride range was seen from 347 to 554 mg/L, which was under the permissible limit. The B.O.D. was recorded in range of 38 to 157 mg/L, while standard limits for that parameter are from 30 to 100 mg/L. A positive correlation were seen between B.O.D. and C.O.D., A negative correlation were seen between Turbidity and Total hardness.

parameters values for different sampling stations seasonally were shown in the following table with respect to the agreeable limits given BIS (Table-2)

Mean values are taken from three months of one season (like in every seasons taken), from the sampling stations. All

| Table-1. | Physiological   | narameters with | their units and  | instrumental methods |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Table-1. | 1 Ilysiological | parameters with | i then units and | msu umentai metnous  |

| Parameters                      | Units                      | Instrumental Methods              |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                 |                            |                                   |
| Temperature                     | 0C                         | Mercury Thermometer               |
| Conductivity                    | milli siemens / centimeter | Digital conductivity meter        |
| Turbidity                       | NTU                        | Nephlometer                       |
| Total Solids                    | mg/L                       | Evaporation method                |
| Total dissolved solids (T.D.S.) | mg/L                       | Evaporation method                |
| Total suspended solids (T.S.S.) | mg/L                       | Filtration and evaporation method |
| pH                              | -                          | Digital pH meter                  |
| DO                              | mg/L                       | Winkler's method                  |
| BOD                             | mg/L                       | Winkler's method                  |
| COD                             | mg/L                       | Dichromate method                 |
| Total hardness                  | mg/L                       | EDTA method                       |
| Nitrates                        | mg/L                       | Phenoldisulphonic method          |
| Chloride                        | mg/L                       | Mohar's method                    |

## Table-2: Physico-chemical parameters values at different sampling stations at different time period

|                  |         | SAMPLING STATIONS |         |         |         |            |             |
|------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Parameters       | Seasons | Gau ghat          | Askunda | Vishram | Bangali |            | BIS         |
|                  |         |                   | ghat    | ghat    | ghat    | Acceptable | Permissible |
|                  |         |                   |         |         |         |            |             |
|                  | Summer  | 30.5              | 30.7    | 33      | 31.7    |            |             |
| Temperature      | monsoon | 21.9              | 21.8    | 24      | 24.8    | **         | **          |
|                  | winter  | 18                | 17.8    | 15.8    | 16.7    |            |             |
|                  |         |                   |         |         |         |            |             |
|                  | summer  | 520               | 540     | 467     | 431     |            |             |
| total alkalinity | monsoon | 181               | 220     | 234     | 191     | 200        | 800         |
|                  | winter  | 126               | 115     | 103     | 110     |            |             |
|                  |         |                   |         |         |         |            |             |
|                  | summer  | 28.7              | 33.3    | 11.2    | 24.5    |            |             |
| Turbidity        | monsoon | 25.6              | 25.4    | 21.9    | 24      | 2.5        | 10          |
|                  | winter  | 34.3              | 28.8    | 26.2    | 27.5    |            |             |
|                  |         |                   |         |         |         |            |             |
|                  | summer  | 1.2               | 1.32    | 1.09    | 1.12    |            |             |
| Total solids     | monsoon | 1                 | 0.9     | 0.89    | 1.1     | 0.5        | 2           |
|                  | winter  | 0.82              | 0.8     | 0.78    | 0.79    |            |             |
|                  |         |                   |         |         |         |            |             |
|                  | summer  | 1222              | 1029    | 1323    | 1094    |            |             |
| Total dissolved  | monsoon | 982               | 988     | 873     | 1009    | 500        | 2000        |
| solids           | winter  | 820               | 800     | 788     | 801     |            |             |
|                  |         |                   |         |         |         |            |             |
|                  | Summer  | 505               | 554     | 347     | 455     |            |             |

J. Sci. Innov. Nat. Earth

| Chlorides      | monsoon | 430  | 410  | 391  | 347  | 250 | 1000 |    |
|----------------|---------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|----|
|                | winter  | 402  | 389  | 380  | 356  |     |      | 53 |
|                |         |      |      |      |      |     |      |    |
|                | summer  | 8.29 | 6.92 | 8.1  | 8.15 |     |      |    |
| Ph             | monsoon | 7.9  | 7.8  | 6.54 | 6.55 | 6.5 | 8.5  |    |
|                | winter  | 7.65 | 7.48 | 7.42 | 7.43 |     |      |    |
|                |         |      |      |      |      |     |      |    |
|                | summer  | 7.2  | 5.6  | 3.2  | 3.5  |     |      |    |
| DO             | monsoon | 5.1  | 5.3  | 6.1  | 6.5  | 6.5 | 10   |    |
|                | winter  | 4.3  | 8    | 6.2  | 6.7  |     |      |    |
|                |         |      |      |      |      |     |      |    |
|                | summer  | 46   | 38   | 64   | 49   |     |      |    |
| BOD            | monsoon | 78   | 76   | 69   | 73   | 30  | 100  |    |
|                | winter  | 118  | 112  | 157  | 135  |     |      |    |
|                |         |      |      |      |      |     |      |    |
|                | summer  | 65   | 52   | 93   | 95   |     |      |    |
| COD            | monsoon | 78   | 74   | 69   | 59   | 150 | 250  |    |
|                | winter  | 56   | 66   | 66   | 69   |     |      |    |
|                |         |      |      |      |      |     |      |    |
|                | summer  | 422  | 284  | 328  | 345  |     |      |    |
| Total hardness | monsoon | 399  | 378  | 299  | 312  | 300 | 600  |    |
|                | winter  | 384  | 367  | 316  | 345  |     |      |    |
|                |         |      |      |      |      |     |      |    |
|                | summer  | 37   | 41   | 28   | 35   |     |      |    |
| Nitrates       | monsoon | 23   | 27   | 31   | 34   | 45  | 45   |    |
|                | winter  | 17   | 19   | 22   | 26   |     |      |    |

## Conclusion

On the basis of this information we can conclude that parameters were found variable due to ecological conditions and large scale of domestic waste. High values of the physiochemical parameters are helpful for aquatic organisms and domestic purpose. Everyone should have awareness for pollution prevention activities. It is concluded that everyone should follow some strategies to restore the water quality status of Yamuna River. These strategies are like – Improving sewerage system, Industrial waste water, Vermiculture technology, Development of a holy pond etc.results obtained in the present study indicate that the water quality of Mathura river Yamuna are highly alkaline in nature.

## Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my Supervisor, R.B.S. College Agra, Jal Nigam Lab (Mathura), Analytical lab Agra for their support and help for my research work.

## Reference

- APHA. (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 21th edition. Washington. D.C.
- Bhargava. D.S. (2006). Revival of Mathura's ailing Yamuna river. Environmentalist, 26(2): pp.111-122.

- Bansal. M and Kapoor. S. (2020). Limnological studies in relation to pollution of Yamuna river in agra region. Int. J. Fauna. Biol. Stu., 7 (4): 164-167.
- Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). (2000). Water quality status of Yamuna river. ADSORBS/ 32/1999-2000, Annexure III. pp.115.
- Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). Annual Report, 2004–2005, Published by Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), Delhi, India.
- Gupta. N., Yadav. K. K., Kumar. V. and Singh. D. (2013). Assessment of physicochemical properties of Yamuna river in agra city. Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res., 5(1): 528-531.
- Hassan. T., Parveen. S., Bhat. B. N. and Ahmad. U. (2017): Seasonal variation in water quality parameters of river Yamuna, india. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 6(5): 694-712.
- Hindustan times, (2004c), Mathura's ghat losing sheen, new delhi.,( 27-6-2004), p-3.
- Hindustan times, (2004g). Mathura water unit for drinking, new delhi. (22-11-2004). p-3.
- ISI. (1993). Indian standard specification for drinking water. ISI 10500, New Delhi.
- Kapoor. S. and Bansal. M. (2020). Limnological studies in relation to pollution of Yamuna river in Agra region. Int. J. Fauna. Biolog. Stud., 7(4): 164-167.

- Kumar. A., Sharma. P. and Dwivedi. D. D. (2018). Impact of human activities on physico-chemical condition of Yamuna river water at Mathura. Int. J. Sci.Res. Publ., 8(9): 125-127.
- Kumar. P. and Sharma. H. B. (2005). Physico-chemical characteristics of lentic water of Radha-kund (district Mathura). Ind. J. Env. Sci., 9(1): 21-22. 54
- Kumar. Y. (2004). Strategy for Pollution Control of Yamuna river at Mathura. Master's Thesis, IET, Lucknow.
- Mishra. K. D. Physico-chemical analysis of Yamuna river water at Agra, Uttar Pradesh. J. E. T. I. R., 7 (6): 1884-1887.
- Pali. D and Singh. SK. Linear modeling-based assessment of water quality of river Yamuna, India (2011-2020). J. Uni. Shanghai. Sci. Tech., 24 (3): 57-71.
- Rajawat. A. K. and Kumar. P. (2017). Physicochemical aspects of Yamuna river at Gokul Barrage Mathura (UP) India. Flora.Fauna., 23(2): 359-362.
- Sharma. A. K., Sharma. N. and Sharma. R. (2013). Study of physiochemical characteristics of water quality of

Yamuna river at Mathura, UP. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life. Sci., 2(10): 131-134.

- Sharma. H.B., Aggarwal. P. K. and Prabha. S. (2000). Water quality of sewage drains entering Yamuna river at Mathura (U.P.). J. Env. Biol., 21(4): 375-378.
- Varshney. B and Dhariwal. A. Analysis of Yamuna water quality using physicochemical parameter. Int. J. Sci. Res., 10 (8): 114-117.
- Welch. P. S. (1952). Limnology. New York: Mc Graw Hill Book Company. 538 Pages.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2004). Guidelines for drinking-water quality. 1:
- Yadav. S. and Rajawat. A. K. (2011). Physico- chemical analysis of Yamuna water at Mathura. Int. J. Res. Eng. App. Sci., 1(4): 51-56.

Cite this article-

Neha Agrawal and Rajvir Singh Ojha, 2023"A Study of Water Qality Parameters at Different Ghats of River Yamuna in Mathura District" *Journal of Science Innovations and Nature of Earth*, Vol. 3(2), Page- 52-55 www.doi.org/10.59436/https://jsiane.com/archives3/2/85